# SOUTH CAROLINA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA STATE AUDITOR'S REPORT JUNE 30, 2005

#### **CONTENTS**

		<u>PAGE</u>
I.	INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES	1
II.	ACCOUNTANT'S COMMENTS	
	SECTION A - MATERIAL WEAKNESS AND/OR VIOLATION OF STATE LAWS, RULES OR REGULATIONS	5
	PAYROLL	6
	SECTION B - STATUS OF PRIOR FINDING	7
	MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE	8

### State of South Carolina



1401 MAIN STREET, SUITE 1200 COLUMBIA, S.C. 29201

THOMAS L. WAGNER, JR., CPA STATE AUDITOR (803) 253-4160 FAX (803) 343-0723

#### INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

April 7, 2006

The Honorable Mark Sanford, Governor and Commissioners
South Carolina Public Service Commission Columbia, South Carolina

We have performed the procedures described below, which were agreed to by the governing body and management of the South Carolina Public Service Commission (the Commission), solely to assist you in evaluating the performance of the Commission for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005, in the areas addressed. The Commission's management is responsible for its financial records, internal controls and compliance with State laws and regulations. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified parties in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The procedures and the associated findings are as follows:

#### 1. Cash Receipts and Revenues

- We inspected selected recorded receipts to determine if these receipts were properly described and classified in the accounting records and internal controls over the selected receipt transactions were adequate to detect errors and/or irregularities.
- We inspected selected recorded receipts to determine if these receipts were recorded in the proper fiscal year.
- We compared amounts recorded in the general ledger and subsidiary ledgers to those in the State's accounting system (STARS) as reflected on the Comptroller General's reports to determine if recorded revenues were in agreement.
- We made inquiries and performed substantive procedures to determine if revenue collection and retention or remittance were supported by law.
- We compared current year recorded revenues from sources other than State General Fund appropriations to those of the prior year and we used estimations and other procedures to evaluate the reasonableness of collected and recorded amounts by revenue account.

The Honorable Mark Sanford, Governor and Commissioners
South Carolina Public Service Commission April 7, 2006

 We evaluated the accountability and security over permits, licenses, and other documents issued for money.

The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

#### 2. Non-Payroll Disbursements and Expenditures

- We inspected selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were properly described and classified in the accounting records, were bona fide disbursements of the Commission, and were paid in conformity with State laws and regulations; if the acquired goods and/or services were procured in accordance with applicable laws and regulations; and if internal controls over the selected disbursement transactions were adequate to detect errors and/or irregularities.
- We inspected selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were recorded in the proper fiscal year.
- We compared amounts recorded in the general ledger and subsidiary ledgers to those in various STARS reports to determine if recorded expenditures were in agreement.
- We compared current year expenditures to those of the prior year to determine the reasonableness of amounts paid and recorded by expenditure account.

The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

#### 3. Payroll Disbursements and Expenditures

- We inspected selected recorded payroll disbursements to determine if the selected payroll transactions were properly described, classified, and distributed in the accounting records; persons on the payroll were bona fide employees; payroll transactions, including employee payroll deductions, were properly authorized and were in accordance with existing legal requirements; and internal controls over the selected payroll transactions were adequate to detect errors and/or irregularities.
- We inspected selected payroll vouchers to determine if the vouchers were properly approved and if the gross payroll agreed to amounts recorded in the general ledger and in STARS.
- We inspected payroll transactions for selected new employees and those who terminated employment to determine if internal controls over these transactions were adequate.
- We compared amounts recorded in the general ledger and subsidiary ledgers to those in various STARS reports to determine if recorded payroll and fringe benefit expenditures were in agreement.
- We compared current year recorded payroll expenditures to those of the prior year and compared the percentage change in recorded personal service expenditures to the percentage change in employer contributions to determine if recorded payroll and fringe benefit expenditures were reasonable by expenditure account.
- We inspected payroll transactions for all TERI participants to determine if internal controls over these transactions were adequate.

The Honorable Mark Sanford, Governor and Commissioners
South Carolina Public Service Commission April 7, 2005

The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. Our finding as a result of these procedures is presented in Payroll in the Accountant's Comments section of this report.

#### 4. Journal Entries, Operating Transfers and Appropriation Transfers

 We inspected selected recorded journal entries and all operating transfers and appropriation transfers to determine if these transactions were properly described and classified in the accounting records; they agreed with the supporting documentation, were adequately documented and explained, were properly approved, and were mathematically correct; and the internal controls over these transactions were adequate to detect errors and/or irregularities.

The journal entries selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

#### 5. General Ledger and Subsidiary Ledgers

 We inspected selected entries and monthly totals in the subsidiary records of the Commission to determine if the amounts were mathematically accurate; the numerical sequences of selected document series were complete; the selected monthly totals were accurately posted to the general ledger; and the internal controls over the selected transactions were adequate to detect errors and/or irregularities.

The transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

#### 6. **Reconciliations**

• We obtained all monthly reconciliations prepared by the Commission for the year ended June 30, 2005, and inspected selected reconciliations of balances in the Commission's accounting records to those in STARS as reflected on the Comptroller General's reports to determine if they were accurate and complete. For the selected reconciliations, we determined if they were timely performed and properly documented in accordance with State regulations, recalculated the amounts, agreed the applicable amounts to the Commission's general ledger, agreed the applicable amounts to the STARS reports, determined if reconciling differences were adequately explained and properly resolved, and determined if necessary adjusting entries were made in the Commission's accounting records and/or in STARS.

The reconciliations were judgmentally selected. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

#### 7. Compliance

 We confirmed through inspection of payroll and non-payroll disbursement vouchers, cash receipts and other documents, inquiry of agency personnel and/or observation of agency personnel performing their assigned duties the Commission's compliance with all applicable financial provisions of the South Carolina Code of Laws, Appropriation Act, and other laws, rules, and regulations for fiscal year 2005.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

The Honorable Mark Sanford, Governor Commissioners South Carolina Public Service Commission April 7, 2005

> 8. **Closing Packages**

We obtained copies of all closing packages as of and for the year ended June 30, 2005, prepared by the Commission and submitted to the State Comptroller General. We inspected them to determine if they were prepared in accordance with the Comptroller General's GAAP Closing Procedures Manual requirements; if the amounts were reasonable; and if they agreed with the supporting workpapers and accounting records.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

#### 9. Schedule of Federal Financial Assistance

We obtained a copy of the schedule of federal financial assistance for the year ended June 30, 2005, prepared by the Commission and submitted to the State Auditor. We inspected it to determine if it was prepared in accordance with the State Auditor's letter of instructions; if the amounts were reasonable; and if they agreed with the supporting workpapers and accounting records.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

#### 10. **Status of Prior Findings**

We inquired about the status of the deficiency described in the finding reported in the Accountant's Comments section of the State Auditor's Report on the Commission resulting from our engagement for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2003, to determine if adequate corrective action has been taken. (We applied no procedures to the Commission's accounting records and internal controls for the year ended June 30, 2004.)

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the specified areas, accounts, or items. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Governor and of the governing body and management of the South Carolina Public Service Commission and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Thomas L. Wagner, Jr., CPA

State Auditor



## <u>SECTION A - MATERIAL WEAKNESS AND/OR VIOLATION OF STATE LAWS, RULES OR REGULATIONS</u>

The procedures agreed to by the agency require that we plan and perform the engagement to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the requirements of State Laws, Rules, or Regulations occurred and whether internal accounting controls over certain transactions were adequate. Management of the entity is responsible for establishing and maintaining internal controls. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the specific internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that errors or irregularities in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Therefore, the presence of a material weakness or violation will preclude management from asserting that the entity has effective internal controls.

The condition described in this section has been identified as a material weakness or violation of State Laws, Rules, or Regulations.

#### **PAYROLL**


One of the 25 termination pay transactions tested contained errors that resulted in an overpayment to an employee of \$744. The overpayment occurred because the Commission paid the employee for the entire pay period, when only five of the ten workdays in the pay period were actually worked.

An effective accounting system includes adequate documentation and control procedures (e.g., independent reviews of pay computation and independent verification of termination dates, hire dates, annual leave balances, pay rate, etc.) to help ensure that errors will be detected and corrected in a timely manner and that payroll checks will be processed for the proper amounts. Section 8-11-30 of the South Carolina Code of Laws states "It is unlawful for a person: (1) to receive a salary from the State or any of its departments which is not due or (2) employed by the State to ... pay salaries or monies that are not due."

We recommend that the Commission adhere to all State laws and regulations including those covering employee pay. The accounting staff should develop and implement procedures to ensure that the partial pay calculation methods are consistently used to calculate each type of non-routine pay including partial periods and termination pay. In addition, procedures should be implemented to ensure that payroll calculations are independently checked for mathematical accuracy and information in those computations is independently verified with supporting documentation. We further recommend that the Commission recover the overpayment from the employee.

#### **SECTION C - STATUS OF PRIOR FINDINGS**

During the current engagement, we reviewed the status of corrective action taken on the finding reported in the Accountant's Comments section of the State Auditor's Report on the on the Commission for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2003, and dated September 9, 2004. (We applied no procedures to the Commission's accounting records and internal controls for the year ended June 30, 2004.) We determined that the Commission has taken adequate corrective action on the finding.



# SOUTH CAROLINA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION AGENCY'S RESPONSE

#### AGREED UPON PROCEDUDRES FOR FY ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

#### **PAYROLL**

We agree with the findings of the Office of the State Auditor. To ensure partial pay calculations are consistent and accurate the Staff will change the Payroll/Status Change Form to highlight the last day worked and the termination date for an employee. The Human Resource Manager calculates partial pay calculations and the CFO checks for mathematical accuracy. Each payroll change will have the appropriate supporting documentation filed with payroll records and employee's personnel folder. A certified letter was sent to the former employee requesting the reimbursement of overpayment.

5 conie	s of th	his de	CUM	nent	Wer	e Du	hlish	ned s	at an	estir	nate	d prin	nting (	cost o	of \$1.3	7 ea	ch ai	nd a
5 copie total pr amende	s of the solution of the solut	his do cost juires	ocum of this	nent \$6.8 infor	were 36. rmat	e pu Sec ion c	blish tion on pr	ned a 1-1 intin	at an 1-128 g cos	estir 5 of sts be	mated the e add	d prir Soutl led to	nting on the control	cost c rolina docum	of \$1.3 Code nent.	7 eac	ch, ai Laws	nd a , as
5 copie total pr amende	s of the inting ed req	his do cost <u>juires</u>	ocum of this	nent \$6.8 infor	were 36. rmat	e pu Sec ion c	blish tion on pr	ned a 1-1 intin	at an 1-12 g cos	estir 5 of sts be	mated the e add	d prir South led to	nting on Ca	cost o rolina docum	of \$1.3 Code nent.	7 eac	ch, ai	nd a , as
5 copie total pr amende	s of the inting ed req	his do cost juires	ocum of this	nent \$6.8 infor	were 36. rmati	e pu Sec ion c	blish tion on pr	ned a 1-1 intin	at an 1-12 g cos	estir 5 of sts be	mated the e add	d prir Soutl led to	nting on Ca	cost o rolina docum	of \$1.3 Code nent.	7 eac	ch, ai	nd a , as